Non-CO₂ Greenhouse Gases: High-GWP Gases

Source/Sectors: Electric Power Transmission and Distribution Systems

Technology: Leakage reduction and recovery (C.2.1)

Description of the Technology:

Leaks from the equipment and venting of the gas during equipment servicing and disposal of equipment are the main sources of emissions. Normal procedures require taking units out of service to search for SF_6 leaks.

A laser leak detection system is capable of finding leaks accurately without any modifications or physical connections to circuit breakers. The advantages over traditional leak detection procedures are the ability to perform leak detection without having to take equipment out of service and the dramatic reduction in time necessary to detect a leak (USEPA, 2001).

Effectiveness: This is one of the most effective options to reduce emissions from this sector.

Implementability: Technically available to all manufactures of gas insulated electrical equipment (IEA, 2003)

Reliability: This is a basic and promising option to effectively abate SF₆ emissions from electric power systems because of its availability, cost performance, and implementability (CEC, 2005; IEA, 2003).

Maturity: Well developed technologically and widely practiced (CEC, 2005)

Environmental Benefits: High-GWP gas emission reduction. If thoroughly implemented in the United States, leak detection and repair could reduce SF₆ emissions from this sector by about 20% (USEPA, 2004).

Cost Effectiveness:

Technology	Lifetime (yrs)	MP (%)	RE (%)	TA (%)	Capital cost	Annual cost	Benefits
Leakage reduction and recovery ¹	10	100	100	100	\$10.96	\$1.81	\$0.00

Note: MP: market penetration; RE: reduction efficiency; TA: technical applicability; costs are in year 2000 US\$/MT_{CO2-Eq.} 1: USEPA (2001) & CEC (2005); 2: IEA (2003)

Industry Acceptance Level: Many U.S. utilities already implement cost-effective leak detection and repair. The GasVue laser camera, a laser leak detection system developed with the support of the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) by Laser Imaging Systems of Punta Gorda, Florida, has been successfully used at a wide range of utilities in the United States and abroad (Moore, 1999).

Limitations: SF₆-containing equipment leakage varies on the type of equipment: old/new, size of operational voltage, manufacturer, weather, etc. Therefore, the applicability may be limited on the region or country of use (IEA, 2003).

Sources of Information:

- 1. California Energy Commission (2005) "Emission Reduction Opportunities for Non-CO₂ Greenhouse Gases in California", a report prepared by ICF Consulting for California Energy Commissions, CEC-500-2005-121, July 2005.
- 2. California Energy Commission (2006) "Inventory of California Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990 to 2004", final staff report, December 22, 2006.
- 3. Ecofys (1999) "Reduction of the Emissions of HFCs, PFCs and SF₆ in the European Union", Commissioned by DG XI of the European Commission, authored by H. Heijnes, M. van Brummelen, and K. Blok, April 1999.
- 4. Environment Canada (1998) "Powering GHG Reductions through Technology Advancement", Clean Technology Advancement Division, Environment Canada.
- 5. International Energy Agency (2001) "Abatement of Emissions of Other Greenhouse Gases Engineered Chemicals", Report Number PH3/35, IEA Greenhouse Gas R&D Programme, Cheltenham, United Kingdom, February 2001.
- International Energy Agency (2003) "Building the Cost Curves for the Industrial Sources of Non-CO₂ Greenhouse Gases", Report Number PH4/25, IEA Greenhouse Gas R&D Programme, Cheltenham, United Kingdom, October 2003.
- 7. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change IPCC (2001) "Summary for Policy Makers: A Report of Working Group I of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change", The Third Assessment Report Working Group I, January 2001.
- 8. McCracken, G.A.; Christiansen, R.; Turpin, M. (2000) "The Environmental Benefits of Remanufacturing: Beyond SF₆ Emission Reduction", *Proc. International Conference on SF₆ and the Environment: Emission Reduction Strategies*, November 1-3, San Diego, CA.
- 9. McFarland, M.; van Gerwen, R. (2000) "Fluorine Compounds: Emissions Inventories, Options for Control and Their Implementation and Resulting Scenarios" in Non-CO₂ Greenhouse Gases: Scientific Understanding, Control and Implementation (edited by J. Van Ham *et al.*), Kluwer Academic Publishers, London.
- 10. McRae, T. (2000) "GasVue and the Magnesium Industry: Advanced SF₆ Leak Detection", *Proc. International Conference on SF*₆ and the Environment: Emission Reduction Strategies, November 1-3, San Diego, CA.
- 11. Moore, T. (1999) "Seeing SF₆ in a New Light", EPRI Journal, Summer 1999, Palo Alto, CA.
- 12. Öko-Recherche (1999) "Emissions and Reduction Potentials of Hydrofluorocarbons, Perfluorocarbons and Sulphur Hexafluoride in Germany", A study commissioned by the German Environmental Protection Agency, Germany, October 1999.
- 13. U.S. Climate Technology Program (2005) "Technology Options for the Near and Long Term", U.S. Department of Energy, http://www.climatetechnology.gov/index.htm, August 2005.
- 14. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2001) "U.S. High GWP Gas Emissions 1990 2010: Inventories, Projections, and Opportunities", Office of Air and Radiation, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA 000-F-97-000, June 2001.
- 15. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2006a) "Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990 to 2004" Office of Atmospheric Programs, United States Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-430-R-06-002, June 2006
- 16. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2006b) "Global Mitigation of Non-CO₂ Greenhouse Gases", Office of Atmospheric Programs, United States Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-430-R-06-005, June 2006.